

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,052	03/01/2002	Tatsuhiro Okada	0234-0442P	8158
2292 7	590 01/13/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			DOVE, TRACY MAE	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1745	
		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

is

			W		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/085,052	OKADA ET AL.	<u> </u>		
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tracy Dove	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-21.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 11 and 21 would require further consideration and/or search. The amendment to claims 1 and 13 raise the issue of new matter. The specification does not appear to support an operating temperature of 100C or less. The specification states "the fuel cell of the present invention has high output density and low operating temperature of as low as 100C" (page 12, lines 14-15). The specification supports an operating temperature of 100C or greater (not 100C or less).

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The objections and 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of the claimed invention contained in the final rejection have been overcome by the proposed amendment.

Tracy Dove

Patent Examiner 1745 January 10, 2005